

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 21-2124V

UNPUBLISHED

CHRISTINA LANE, on behalf of her
minor child, C.L.,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: November 18, 2022

Motion for decision; Dismissal;
Human papillomavirus ("HPV")
vaccine; premature ovarian failure

Andrew D. Downing, Downing, Allison & Jorgenson, Phoenix, AZ, for Petitioner.

Heather L. Pearlman, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION¹

On November 1, 2021, Christina Lane filed a petition for compensation on behalf of her minor child, C.L., under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. § 300aa—10 through 34,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that C.L. developed premature ovarian failure from a human papillomavirus ("HPV") vaccine C.L. received on August 2, 2018. ECF No. 1.

On September 2, 2022, Petitioner filed a motion for a decision dismissing the petition. ECF No. 15. For the reasons set forth below, Petitioner's motion is **GRANTED**, and this case is **DISMISSED**.

Petitioner did not file any supporting documentation with the petition. The PAR Initial Order required Petitioner to file these statutorily required documents. ECF No. 5. On December 15, 2021, Petitioner filed one set of medical records. ECF No. 7. Petitioner later filed more medical records on May 23, 2022. ECF No. 9. On July 26, 2022, Petitioner was granted an extension of time to submit the documents required by the Initial Order. Petitioner did not file any additional documents subsequent to this extension of time.

¹ Although I have not formally designated this Decision for publication, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website because it contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

On September 2, 2022, Petitioner filed a motion for a decision dismissing the petition stating that:

[She] has made the choice that she would like to opt out of the Vaccine Program in advance of a Court ruling on entitlement. She wishes to pursue a third-party action in district court against Merck directly. This choice should not be viewed in any way that Petitioner does not believe in the merits of her claim or that [C.L.'s] injuries are not a result of Gardasil.

ECF No. 15 at 1-2. However, Petitioner admitted that she “does not believe she will be able to prove that she is entitled to compensation in the Vaccine Program.” *Id.* at 2.

To receive compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, a petitioner must prove either 1) that the vaccinee suffered a “Table Injury” – i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table – corresponding to one of the listed vaccines, or 2) that the vaccinee suffered an injury not on the Table that was actually caused by a listed vaccine. See §§ 300aa—13(a)(1)(A) and 11(c)(1). Petitioner alleged a non-Table claim, i.e., that C.L.’s premature ovarian failure was actually caused by the HPV vaccination.

Under the Vaccine Act, a petitioner may not receive compensation based solely on the petitioner’s claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent physician. § 300aa—13(a)(1). For a non-Table claim, a petitioner must satisfy all three of the elements established by the Federal Circuit in *Althen v. Sec’y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, 418 F.3d 1274, 1278 (2005): “(1) a medical theory causally connecting the vaccination and the injury; (2) a logical sequence of cause and effect showing that the vaccination was the reason for the injury; and (3) a showing of proximate temporal relationship between vaccination and injury.”

Petitioner has not submitted sufficient evidence to establish the Althen prongs, such as an expert report proposing a medical theory. Moreover, Petitioner admitted in her motion for a decision that she will not be able to establish entitlement to compensation.

Thus, Petitioner has failed to establish entitlement to compensation in the Vaccine Program. **This case is dismissed for insufficient proof. The clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.**³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ If Petitioner wishes to bring a civil action, she must file a notice of election rejecting the judgment pursuant to § 21(a) “not later than 90 days after the date of the court’s final judgment.”